

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 76, 72-76 (1980)

## An Oscillation Criterion for Second Order Nonlinear Differential Equations with Functional Arguments\*

CHEH-CHIH YEH

*Department of Mathematics, Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan, Republic of China**Submitted by J. P. LaSalle*

DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR TARO YOSHIZAWA ON HIS 60TH BIRTHDAY

Best possible conditions are given here, under which all solutions of the equation  $y''(t) + p(t)f(y(t), y(g(t))) = 0$  are oscillatory.

Consider the linear differential equation

$$y''(t) + a(t)y(t) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $a(t) \in C[t_0, \infty)$ . By the well-known theorem of Winter [6],

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t du \int_{t_0}^u a(s) ds = \infty \quad (2)$$

is sufficient for Eq. (1) to be oscillatory even when  $a(t)$  is not assumed positive. Hartman [2] has shown that the limit can not be replaced by the upper limit in condition (2).

Considering the equation

$$y''(t) + \lambda b(t)y(t) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $b(t) \in C[t_0, \infty)$ , we call  $b(t)$  a strongly oscillatory coefficient if (3) is oscillatory for all positive  $\lambda$ . If  $b(t) \geq 0$ , Nehari [4] shows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_t^\infty b(s) ds = \infty \quad (4)$$

is a necessary and sufficient condition for  $b(t)$  to be a strongly oscillatory coefficient.

We consider the equation

$$y''(t) + p(t)f(y(t), y(g(t))) = 0, \quad (5)$$

\* This research was supported by the National Science Council.

where  $p, g \in C[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $f \in C(R \times R)$ ,  $R = (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $f(y_1, y_2)$  has the sign of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  when they have the same sign.

Travis [5] has recently demonstrated that all solutions of (5) are oscillatory if

$$(C_1) \quad h(t) \leq g(t) \text{ and } 0 < k \leq h'(t) \leq 1,$$

$$(C_2) \quad \text{there exists } M > 0 \text{ such that } y \geq M \text{ implies}$$

$$\liminf_{|w| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f(y, w)}{zw} \right| \geq c > 0,$$

$$(C_3) \quad p(t) \geq 0 \text{ and } \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_t^\infty p(s) ds = \infty.$$

Our main purpose in this paper is to give a new integral criterion for the oscillation of Eq. (5), based on the use of the  $n$ th primitive

$$A_n(t) = \frac{1}{(n!)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-1} p(u) du$$

of the coefficient  $p(t)$ , which has the criteria of Winter [6] and Travis [5] as a particular case.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_2)$  hold. If  $p(t) \geq 0$  and*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{1-n} A_n(t) = \infty, \quad (C)$$

*where  $A_n(t)$  is the  $n$ th primitive of  $p(t)$  for some  $n > 2$ , then all solutions of (5) are oscillatory.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (5). Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $y(t) > 0$  for large  $t$ . We see easily that  $y'(t) > 0$  for large  $t$ . Let  $w(t) = y'(t)/y(h(t))$ . Then  $w(t)$  satisfies

$$w'(t) = -p(t) \frac{f(y(t), y(g(t)))}{y(h(t))} - \frac{y'(h(t))}{y(h(t))} h'(t) w(t).$$

Since  $y'(t) > 0$  for large  $t$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)$  exists either as a finite or infinite limit. If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = b$  is finite, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(y(t), y(g(t)))}{y(g(t))} = \frac{f(b, b)}{b} > 0.$$

If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \infty$ , then by  $(C_2)$  we have that

$$\frac{f(y(t), y(g(t)))}{y(g(t))} \geq c > 0 \quad (6)$$

for large  $t$ . In either case, we have that (6) holds for  $t$  large enough. Since  $y(t)$  is increasing for large  $t$  we have that

$$p(t) \frac{f(y(t), y(g(t)))}{y(h(t))} \geq p(t) \frac{f(y(t), y(g(t)))}{y(g(t))} \geq cp(t)$$

and

$$\frac{y'(h(t))}{y(h(t))} h'(t) w(t) \geq kw^2(t).$$

Thus

$$w'(t) \leq -cp(t) - kw^2(t),$$

whence it follows that

$$\int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-1} w'(u) du \leq -c \int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-1} p(u) du - k \int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-1} w^2(u) du.$$

Since

$$\int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-1} w'(u) du = (n-1) \int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-2} w(u) du - w(t_0) (t-t_0)^{n-1},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & ct^{1-n} \int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-1} p(u) du \\ & \leq w(t_0) \left( \frac{t-t_0}{t} \right)^{n-1} \\ & \quad - t^{1-n} \left[ \int_{t_0}^t k(t-u)^{n-1} w^2(u) du + \int_{t_0}^t (n-1)(t-u)^{n-2} w(u) du \right] \\ & = w(t_0) \left( \frac{t-t_0}{t} \right)^{n-1} + 4^{-1}(n-1)^2 k^{-1}(n-2)^{-1} t^{1-n} (t-t_0)^{n-2} \\ & \quad - t^{1-n} \int_{t_0}^t [k^{1/2} w(u) (t-u)^{(1/2)(n-1)} + 2^{-1} k^{-1/2} (n-1) (t-u)^{(1/2)(n-3)}]^2 du \\ & \leq w(t_0) t^{1-n} (t-t_0)^{n-1} + (4k)^{-1} (n-1)^2 (n-2)^{-1} t^{1-n} (t-t_0)^{n-2} \rightarrow w(t_0) \end{aligned}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , which contradicts condition (C). Thus our proof is complete.

**THEOREM 2.** *Consider the equation*

$$y''(t) + p(t)F(y(t)) = 0, \tag{7}$$

where  $p(t) \in C[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $F(y) \in C(R)$ ,  $yF(y) > 0$  for  $y \neq 0$ ,  $F'(y)$  exists and is continuous for  $y \in R' \equiv (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ . If (C) holds and

$$F'(y) \geq k > 0 \quad \text{for } y \in R',$$

then every solution of (7) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Assume that  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (7). Letting  $w(t) = y'(t)/F(y(t))$ , we have

$$w'(t) + w^2(t)F'(y(t)) + p(t) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-1} w'(u) du + \int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-1} w^2(u) F'(y(u)) du \\ = - \int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-1} p(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{1-n} \int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{n-1} p(u) du \\ \leq w(t_0) t^{1-n} (t-t_0)^{n-1} + (4k)^{-1} (n-2)^{-1} (n-1)^2 t^{1-n} (t-t_0)^{n-2} \rightarrow w(t_0) \end{aligned}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , a contradiction. This contradiction completes our proof.

From Theorem 2, we have the following which is due to Kamenev [7].

**COROLLARY.** *If the condition (C) holds, then all solutions of the equation*

$$y''(t) + p(t)y(t) = 0$$

*are oscillatory.*

*Remark 1.* We see easily that if (2) holds, then (C) holds for  $n = 3$ . Thus the oscillation criterion of Winter [6] is a special case of our Theorem 2.

*Remark 2.* The domain of applicability of our oscillation criterion is wider than those for many criteria already known. For example, if  $p(t)$  in Eq. (7) is such that  $A_3(t) = e^{t^2} \sin t$ , then our Theorem 2 says that Eq. (7) is oscillatory, whereas none of the known criteria [2, 3, 4, 6] can obtain this result.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. S. CHEN AND C. C. YEH, Oscillation theorems for second order nonlinear differential equations with an "integrally small" coefficient, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, to appear.
2. P. HARTMAN, "Ordinary differential equations," Wiley, New York, 1964.

3. P. HARTMAN, On nonoscillatory linear differential equations of second order, *Amer. J. Math.* **74** (1952), 389–400.
4. Z. NEHARI, Oscillation criteria for second order linear differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 428–445.
5. C. C. TRAVIS, Oscillation theorems for second order differential equations with functional arguments, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** (1972), 199–202.
6. A. WINTER, A criterion of oscillatory stability, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **7** (1949), 115–117.
7. I. V. KAMENEV, An integral criterion for oscillation of linear differential equations of second order, *Math. Zametki* **23** (1978), 249–251. (In Russian).